

Agreed (on a signed s106 but no certainty of knowing if or when it is to be paid), **Secured** (More certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met or shortly due to be met) and **Received** (We hold the funds)

| Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017. | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|---|---------------------|---|---|--|---------------------------|--|
| Column 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. Phasing Period | 12. | |
| Infrastructure Type | ID No. | Project | Projected Cost (£) | Funding Secured (£). ¹ | Consultation Update | Net CIL (£). ² | Proposed Funding Arrangement. | | Prioritisation rating RAG | |
| Green infrastructure | 1/IDP/GI | Arundel to Littlehampton Green Link Walking and Cycle provision along the River Arun between Littlehampton and Arundel | £9.8m and £15.8m for the main route and a further £1.4m for various connecting elements. | £62k from business rate pool No s.106 at April 2024 | No. | £9.74m - £15.74m | CIL and other contributions tbc | Unknown A contribution of approximately £2-3 million would be requested in the short to medium term – 2-5 years. | Essential | |
| | 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by ADC, Economic Development. Greenspace; Town and Parish Councils; Environment Agency, on SDNP IBP | | | | | | | | |
| | 11. Evidence source | ICSDP, 2017 Arun Cycleway Feasibility Study (presented to Littlehampton Regeneration Sub-Committee, March 2021) | | | | | | | | |
| | 2/IDP/GI | Felpham Rife Countryside Park – links to BEW rife parkland and old canal | £3.5 million £115,000 per annum maintenance | £0 No s.106 at April 2024 | No. | £3.5 million £115,000 per annum maintenance | Anticipate S.106 from BEW cover majority cost. CIL and other contributions tbc | Unknown Tbc -in line with BEW Rife parkland | Essential | |
| 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by ADC Greenspace; BEW site promoters; landowners; Environment Agency | | | | | | | | | |

¹ Column 5 Funding Secured (£): **Agreed** (on a signed s.106) **Secured** (Certainty- trigger for payment met) **Received** (finance are holding the funds)

² Column 7 Net CIL required i.e. net of s.106 or other contributions set out in column 5.

Agreed (on a signed s106 but no certainty of knowing if or when it is to be paid), **Secured** (More certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met or shortly due to be met) and **Received** (We hold the funds)

| Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Column 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. Phasing Period | 12. |
| Infrastructure Type | ID No. | Project | Projected Cost (£) | Funding Secured (£). ¹ | Consultation Update | Net CIL (£). ² | Proposed Funding Arrangement. | | Prioritisation rating RAG |
| 11. Evidence source | ICSDP, 2017, Green Infrastructure Study 2012 Bognor Regis GI Framework A Landscape & Green Infrastructure Framework Connecting Bognor Regis to the South Downs National Park | | | | | | | | |
| 3/IDP/GI | | Urban Greening Project North Bersted | £10k | £0 No s.106 funding at April 2024 | No. | £10k | CIL and parish CIL tbc | Unknown 2023-2024 | Essential |
| 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by ADC Parks and Greenspace | | | | | | | | |
| 11. Evidence source | ICSDP, 2017, Green Infrastructure Study 2012 Greenspace CIL spending list 2021 | | | | | | | | |
| 4/IDP/GI | | Urban Greening Project Wick, Littlehampton | £10k | £0 No s.106 funding at April 2024 | No. | £10k | CIL and parish CIL tbc | Unknown 2023-2024 | Essential |
| 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by ADC Parks and Greenspace | | | | | | | | |
| 11. Evidence source | ICSDP, 2017 Green Infrastructure Study 2012 Greenspace CIL spending list 2021 | | | | | | | | |

Agreed (on a signed s106 but no certainty of knowing if or when it is to be paid), **Secured** (More certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met or shortly due to be met) and **Received** (We hold the funds)

| Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--------------------|---|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| Column 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. Phasing Period | 12. |
| Infrastructure Type | ID No. | Project | Projected Cost (£) | Funding Secured (£). ¹ | Consultation Update | Net CIL (£). ² | Proposed Funding Arrangement. | | Prioritisation rating RAG |
| | 5/ADC/GI | Gateway to South Downs National Park (SM10) | £280k | £0 No s.106 funding at April 2024 | No. | £280k | CIL S106 North/South Consortium | Unknown In line with Fontwell Strategic Allocation WA/48/19/RES | |
| | 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by ADC. Seek scope for cross boundary work with South Downs National Park Authority | | | | | | | |
| | 11. Evidence source | Bognor Regis GI Framework A Landscape & Green Infrastructure Framework Connecting Bognor Regis to the South Downs National Park <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Enhanced pedestrian/cycle/bridle access. - New shared pedestrian/cycle/ bridle route linking the housing developments to the underpass. - Tree Planting and wildflower verge to path. - Lighting, surfacing and signage enhancements to underpass. New pedestrian crossing | | | | | | | |
| | 6/ADC/GI | New (South Downs) north-south pedestrian/cycle/ bridle route (SM9) | £1.1m | £0 No s.106 at April 2024 | No. | £1.1m | CIL S106 North/South Consortium | Unknown In line with Fontwell Strategic Allocation WA/48/19/RES | |
| | 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by ADC. Seek scope for cross boundary work with South Downs National Park Authority | | | | | | | |
| 11. Evidence source | Bognor Regis GI Framework A Landscape & Green Infrastructure Framework Connecting Bognor Regis to the South Downs National Park <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - New shared pedestrian/cycle/bridle route. - Avenue tree planting. Wildflower verge to path. | | | | | | | | |

Agreed (on a signed s106 but no certainty of knowing if or when it is to be paid), **Secured** (More certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met or shortly due to be met) and **Received** (We hold the funds)

| Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|---|--------------------|---|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| Column 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. Phasing Period | 12. |
| Infrastructure Type | ID No. | Project | Projected Cost (£) | Funding Secured (£). ¹ | Consultation Update | Net CIL (£). ² | Proposed Funding Arrangement. | | Prioritisation rating RAG |
| | 8/ADC/GI | Hotham Park play area improvements | £60k | £74.5k agreed in s.106 April 2024 (but not secured) | No. | £0 | CIL | Unknown – to be removed if s.106 delivers project. | |
| | 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by ADC | | | | | | | |
| | 11. Evidence source | Parks & Greenspace CIL spending list 2021 | | | | | | | |
| | 9/ADC/GI | King George V Recreation Ground, Felpham – pitch improvements (KGV) | £300k | Funding of £67,397.64 spent in 2023/24 on the Play area | No. | £300k | CIL S106 | Unknown | |
| | 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by ADC | | | | | | | |
| | 11. Evidence source | Parks & Greenspace CIL spending list 2021 | | | | | | | |

Agreed (on a signed s106 but no certainty of knowing if or when it is to be paid), **Secured** (More certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met or shortly due to be met) and **Received** (We hold the funds)

| Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| Column 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. Phasing Period | 12. |
| Infrastructure Type | ID No. | Project | Projected Cost (£) | Funding Secured (£). ¹ | Consultation Update | Net CIL (£). ² | Proposed Funding Arrangement. | | Prioritisation rating RAG |
| | 10/ADC/GI | Lion's Den, Littlehampton – play area improvements | £60K update 2024 £125k | £0 No s.106 at April 2024 Update 2024 £95k | Yes. | £30k Update 2024 £30k | CIL | Within new IIP period Within 2 years | |
| | 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by ADC | | | | | | | |
| | 11. Evidence source | Parks & Greenspace CIL spending list 2021 | | | | | | | |
| | 11/ADC/GI | Southfields Recreation Ground, Littlehampton – pitch improvements (SPE) | £100k | £19,801.40 received and £92k agreed from s.106 at April 2024 | No. | £0 | CIL S106 | Unknown - to be removed if s.106 delivers project. 2023-2024. | |
| | 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by ADC | | | | | | | |
| | 11. Evidence source | Parks & Greenspace CIL spending list 2021 | | | | | | | |

Agreed (on a signed s106 but no certainty of knowing if or when it is to be paid), **Secured** (More certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met or shortly due to be met) and **Received** (We hold the funds)

| Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|--|---|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|--|---------------------------|
| Column 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. Phasing Period | 12. |
| Infrastructure Type | ID No. | Project | Projected Cost (£) | Funding Secured (£). ¹ | Consultation Update | Net CIL (£). ² | Proposed Funding Arrangement. | | Prioritisation rating RAG |
| Waste Management | 12/IDP/WM | Reconfiguration of Westhampnett transfer station/household waste recycling site to increase capacity to meet future demand. 100% of Arun's residual waste is bulked up for onward treatment/disposal. | £2.5m (£5 million in total to be split 50:50 with Chichester District) | No s.106 April 2024 | No. Pending update at Liaison meeting. WSCC propose CIL Indicative funding for Arun should be £200k £1.125m £1.125m | £2.45m | a)c) £50k feasibility preliminary design d) £1.2m detailed design e) <i>£1.2m Construction</i> | Medium Term Yr1 Yr2 Yr3 | High Priority |
| | 10. Delivery Partners | <p>Promoted by ADC, WSCC and Chichester District Council. Initial design x 4 options prepared and 2 now being considered for the redesign/reconfiguration of the Westhampnett site. Indicative costs, c£4.5m and £5.2m (plus professional fees). c£2.5m for Arun estimate of project preparation and delivery costs TBC: A to C 10% of costs, D 10% of cost and E 80% of the cost.</p> <p>Project is funded by two authorities so fund draw down drawing down may vary between the authorities. Funds received via s106 of £42,472 in Arun (FP9204 site 6). S106 £20,000 in Chichester District. The previous information identified that the project was to be delivered over two years, as set out in the Chichester IBP. This is now being requested to be amended for delivery over three years using CIL from each authority. Delays to programme moves project to baseline long list - replaced by Littlehampton Waste Recycling Facility</p> | | | | | | | |

Agreed (on a signed s106 but no certainty of knowing if or when it is to be paid), **Secured** (More certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met or shortly due to be met) and **Received** (We hold the funds)

| Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|---|---|--|---------------------|---------------------------|---|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Column 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. Phasing Period | 12. |
| Infrastructure Type | ID No. | Project | Projected Cost (£) | Funding Secured (£). ¹ | Consultation Update | Net CIL (£). ² | Proposed Funding Arrangement. | | Prioritisation rating RAG |
| | 11. Evidence source | ICSDP, 2017 and resubmitted by WSCC in 2021. Outline business case approved internally at WSCC. Capital Programme for recommendation for approval at Council in February 2022. Added WSCC Waste Asset Strategy. Chichester District Council Essential in IBP (IBP710 £250k 2022/23 and £2.25m 2023/24). This scheme has not progressed according to the timetable/milestones/ WSCC have re-evaluated the appropriate alternative option for Littlehampton Recycling Facility for the short to intermediate term. This project on baseline list as red | | | | | | | |
| Leisure | 13/IDP/L | New District Leisure Centre (SPG, SWI) | Up to £30 million based on current estimates. | <p>£221,165.12 received from s.106 (with potentially up to an additional £84,392) and</p> <p>£903,818.58 agreed in s.106 (of which £29,619 is secured)</p> | No. | £28.9m | CIL S106 And possible opportunity to apply for Sport England funding. | Unknown 2028- 2030 | High Priority |
| | 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by ADC, WSCC, Leisure | | | | | | | |
| | 11. Evidence source | ICSDP, 2017 and resubmitted by Leisure in 2021 | | | | | | | |

Agreed (on a signed s106 but no certainty of knowing if or when it is to be paid), **Secured** (More certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met or shortly due to be met) and **Received** (We hold the funds)

| Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017. | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|--|--------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|
| Column 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. Phasing Period | 12. | |
| Infrastructure Type | ID No. | Project | Projected Cost (£) | Funding Secured (£). ¹ | Consultation Update | Net CIL (£). ² | Proposed Funding Arrangement. | | Prioritisation rating RAG | |
| Emergency Services | 15/IDP/ES | Expansion and Improvements to Bognor Police Station (POB, (POC)) | £1,920,000 | <p>£66,701 received from s.106 and £259,479 agreed in s.106 (£93,828 of which secured) at April 2024</p> <p>NOTE a further £278,557 agreed for Police infrastructure across district which could end up allocated to Bognor or Littlehampton Police Station</p> | No. | £1.594m | CIL S106 | <p>Unknown</p> <p>2024/25-commencement</p> | Essential | |
| | 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by ADC and Sussex Police | | | | | | | | |
| | 11. Evidence source | ICSDP, 2017 and resubmitted by Sussex Police in 2021 | | | | | | | | |

Agreed (on a signed s106 but no certainty of knowing if or when it is to be paid), **Secured** (More certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met or shortly due to be met) and **Received** (We hold the funds)

| Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017. | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|---------------------------|--|
| Column 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. Phasing Period | 12. | |
| Infrastructure Type | ID No. | Project | Projected Cost (£) | Funding Secured (£). ¹ | Consultation Update | Net CIL (£). ² | Proposed Funding Arrangement. | | Prioritisation rating RAG | |
| | 16/IDP/ES | Relocation or redevelopment of Littlehampton Fire Station (FD2, FSG) for new fire stations, based on 2 bay fire station c£5.4m or 3 bay would be c£7m. | 2 bay fire station is £5.4m, 3 bay £7.0m. | £14,626 received from s.106 and £1,066 agreed. Plus, future tbc amounts are agreed but all figures must be confirmed by WSCC. (as at May 2023) | No. Independent study estimate of project preparation and delivery costs, confirmed. | £5.38 - £6.98m Yr1 £50K Yr2 £50K Yr 3 £0K | WSCC Capital/CIL/S106 Estimated a) feasibility to c) preliminary design £100k d) detailed design £100k e) £100k (Construction £1.232m) e) Construction £3.77m to £5.37m | Unknown Yr1 £50K Yr2 £50K Yr 3 £0K | Essential | |
| | 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by ADC and WSCC – updated figures June 2024 | | | | | | | | |
| | 11. Evidence source | ICSDP, 2017 and resubmitted by WSCC in 2021. To be included in Community Risk Management Plan 3–5-year period 2022-2027 -as high priority (enable Fire Service to meet stat duties). CRMP consultation autumn 2021. Independent study estimate of project preparation and delivery costs, confirmed – a-c10% of costs, D 10% of cost and e 80% of cost | | | | | | | | |
| | 17/IDP/ES | Littlehampton Police Station redevelopment (POA, (POC)) | £1.222m | £208,410.45 Received (of which £72,879.05 has been spent) from s.106 and | Yes. But no further evidence. | £792.7k | CIL S106 | Unknown 2024/25 | Essential | |

Agreed (on a signed s106 but no certainty of knowing if or when it is to be paid), **Secured** (More certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met or shortly due to be met) and **Received** (We hold the funds)

| Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|---|--|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|---------------------------|
| Column 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. Phasing Period | 12. |
| Infrastructure Type | ID No. | Project | Projected Cost (£) | Funding Secured (£). ¹ | Consultation Update | Net CIL (£). ² | Proposed Funding Arrangement. | | Prioritisation rating RAG |
| | | | | £220,848 on agreed s.106 April 2024 | | | | | |
| | 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by ADC and Sussex Police capital program | | | | | | | |
| | 11. Evidence source | ICSDP, 2017 and resubmitted by Sussex Police in 2021. | | | | | | | |
| Transport Infrastructure | 18/ADC/TI | Route 8: Active travel route (safe cycling) from Arundel to Littlehampton via Ford Station between A259 and A27 Linked with 37PC Note: Arundel Ford Scheme to LTN120 Standard significant cost initial WSCC feasibility WSP £3.84m+ | £1.9-2.2 million Option 8a) £1.9m Option 8b £2.2m | No s.106 at April 2024 | No. Active Travel/LCWIP A Steering Group established, (WSCC, National Highways, Arun District Council and Arundel Town Council). | £1.9m – £2.2m Based on upper cost range* | CIL S106 *a)- c) feasibility preliminary design £100k d) detailed design £100k e) Construction (£2m):- £896k e) Construction (£2m):- £604k - £904k | Unknown Tbc – to discuss with WSCC Yr1 Yr2 Yr3 Yr4 IIP2 | |

Agreed (on a signed s106 but no certainty of knowing if or when it is to be paid), **Secured** (More certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met or shortly due to be met) and **Received** (We hold the funds)

| Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| Column 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. Phasing Period | 12. |
| Infrastructure Type | ID No. | Project | Projected Cost (£) | Funding Secured (£). ¹ | Consultation Update | Net CIL (£). ² | Proposed Funding Arrangement. | | Prioritisation rating RAG |
| 10. Delivery Partners | <p>Promoted by ADC WSCC, National Highways. Informal engagement with the owners adjacent to the Ford Road, confirmed prepared to make available for the safe cycle pathway. Arundel TC received £110,678 in CIL payments in 2023/24.</p> <p>National Highways indicate £15,000 Designated Funds for WSP Consultancy work on business case in 2022/23 financial year however, national funding review has now to significantly cast doubt on accessing National Highways' contribution to the pathway costs. Unlikely to consider funding until after the election and the Design Stage (at £500,000) until RIS3 in 2025.</p> <p>The scheme remains a priority however, evidence on deliverability within the next 3 years is at risk. If a light touch review in 2026 confirms this the progress the scheme will revert to an Amber score. The CIL allocation is therefore, reduced for year 2 of the IIP to £50,000</p> | | | | | | | | |
| 11. Evidence source | <p>Active Travel Study (one of 5 priorities) Highways England Ford to Arundel Study Feb 2020 WSP Highways England Designated Funds - A27 NMU link improvements package Ford to Arundel 2020.</p> <p>Project number 70055187 WSCC included Arundel to Ford section within LCWIP prioritisation work supported by Arundel Parish Council See also 37PC. SDNPA has added the scheme to the IBP</p> | | | | | | | | |
| 19/ADC/TI | Route 2: Active travel route Fontwell to Felpham | £590,000 | £0 No s.106 at April 2024 | No. | £590k | CIL S106 | Unknown | To align with Fontwell and Northern BEW site | |
| 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by ADC, WSCC | | | | | | | | |
| 11. Evidence source | Active Travel Study (one of 5 priorities) | | | | | | | | |
| 20/ADC/TI | Route 6: Active Travel Route | £3,352,500 | £0 No s.106 at April 2024 | No. | £3.3m | CIL S106 | Unknown | | |

Agreed (on a signed s106 but no certainty of knowing if or when it is to be paid), **Secured** (More certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met or shortly due to be met) and **Received** (We hold the funds)

| Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|--|--------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| Column 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. Phasing Period | 12. |
| Infrastructure Type | ID No. | Project | Projected Cost (£) | Funding Secured (£). ¹ | Consultation Update | Net CIL (£). ² | Proposed Funding Arrangement. | | Prioritisation rating RAG |
| | | Ford- North Mundham | | | | | | To align with Ford, Yapton and BEW strategic sites | |
| | 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by ADC, WSCC | | | | | | | |
| | 11. Evidence source | Active Travel Study (one of 5 priorities) | | | | | | | |
| | 21/ADC/TI | Route 9: Active Travel Route – Littlehampton - Goring by Sea NCN2 -inbound option | £2,500,000 | £0 No s.106 at April 2024 | No. | £2.5m | CIL S106 | Unknown | |
| | 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by ADC WSCC Note Kingston Parish council Objection to elements of route options on Elmer Road Kingston Lane and use of Prow involving private land | | | | | | | |
| | 11. Evidence source | Active Travel Study (one of 5 priorities) | | | | | | | |
| | 22/ADC/TI | Route 12: Active travel route (safe cycling) - Aldwick Region | £3,326,000 | £0 No s.106 at April 2024 | No. | £3.33m | CIL S106 | Unknown | |
| | 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by ADC, WSCC Aldwick received £854 in 2023/24. | | | | | | | |

Agreed (on a signed s106 but no certainty of knowing if or when it is to be paid), **Secured** (More certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met or shortly due to be met) and **Received** (We hold the funds)

| Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|---------------------|---------------------------|---|---|---------------------------|
| Column 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. Phasing Period | 12. |
| Infrastructure Type | ID No. | Project | Projected Cost (£) | Funding Secured (£). ¹ | Consultation Update | Net CIL (£). ² | Proposed Funding Arrangement. | | Prioritisation rating RAG |
| | 11. Evidence source | Active Travel Study (one of 5 priorities) | | | | | | | |
| | 23/ADC/TI | Ford Road Level Crossing | £9.1m | £3m requested (not secured) No s.106 funding at April 2024 | No. | £9.1m | S106 | Unknown Tbc and in alignment with Ford allocation. | Yellow |
| | 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by ADC, WSCC | | | | | | | |
| | 11. Evidence source | Transport Apportionment Study and Arun Transport Study | | | | | | | |
| | 25/ADC/TI | A29 Realignment (HWA) | £69.765m Phase 1 £22.669m and Phase 2 £47.096m | 9.9m Grant funding from Coast to Capital LEP WSCC £11.9m £664,276 received and £2,285,642 agreed (of which £42,454 likely secured) | No.. | | LEP Grant funding, WSCC and S106 £44.459m will be sought from Fontwell, West Bersted and BEW strategic developments | Unknown Tbc and in alignment with BEW | Red |

Agreed (on a signed s106 but no certainty of knowing if or when it is to be paid), **Secured** (More certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met or shortly due to be met) and **Received** (We hold the funds)

| Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---------|---|---|---------------------|--------------------------------|--|-------------------|---------------------------|
| Column 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. Phasing Period | 12. |
| Infrastructure Type | ID No. | Project | Projected Cost (£) | Funding Secured (£). ¹ | Consultation Update | Net CIL (£). ² | Proposed Funding Arrangement. | | Prioritisation rating RAG |
| | | | | in s.106 at April 2024 But all these figures should be checked and confirmed by WSCC | | | | | |
| 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by ADC, WSCC, Phase 1 -WSCC, Phase 2 – BEW Developers | | | | | | | | |
| 11. Evidence source | Arun Apportionment Study, Arun Transport Study | | | | | | | | |
| 26/ADC/TI | A259 Corridor Improvement scheme (Bognor Regis – Littlehampton) H04 | £30m | Bid for £25m to DfT. Minimum of 15% funding will be required from local sources. £183,655 agreed in s106 But all figures need confirming with WSCC (as at May 2023) | No. | TBC | S106 DfT and local sources TBC | Unknown Tbc – project ongoing and led by WSCC Promoted by ADC | | |
| 10. | WSCC | | | | | | | | |

Agreed (on a signed s106 but no certainty of knowing if or when it is to be paid), **Secured** (More certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met or shortly due to be met) and **Received** (We hold the funds)

| Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|--|--------------------|---|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| Column 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. Phasing Period | 12. |
| Infrastructure Type | ID No. | Project | Projected Cost (£) | Funding Secured (£). ¹ | Consultation Update | Net CIL (£). ² | Proposed Funding Arrangement. | | Prioritisation rating RAG |
| | Delivery Partners | | | | | | | | |
| | 11. Evidence source | Arun Apportionment Study, Arun Transport Study, West Sussex County Council consultation June – August 2021 | | | | | | | |
| | 27/ADC/TI | A284 Lyminster Bypass North (HWB) | £27.334m | £1,871,014 received from s.106 and £1,490,000 in agreed s.106. But all figures to be confirmed with WSCC (and amounts relate to the whole bypass, not just the northern section) Received £3m grant funding | No.. | TBC. | S106, Grant and WSCC | Unknown Tbc | |
| | 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by ADC, WSCC | | | | | | | |
| | 11. Evidence source | Transport Apportionment | | | | | | | |

Agreed (on a signed s106 but no certainty of knowing if or when it is to be paid), **Secured** (More certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met or shortly due to be met) and **Received** (We hold the funds)

| Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|--|--|---|---|---|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| Column 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. Phasing Period | 12. |
| Infrastructure Type | ID No. | Project | Projected Cost (£) | Funding Secured (£). ¹ | Consultation Update | Net CIL (£). ² | Proposed Funding Arrangement. | | Prioritisation rating RAG |
| | 31/PC/ | Urban Greening project for a tree lined avenue in Bognor Regis (Shripney road) | £5-6k | £725 No s.106 funding at April 2024 | No. | £5-6k | Part funded by CIL BRTC | Unknown Within current IIP | |
| | 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by Bognor Town Council ADC | | | | | | | |
| | 11. Evidence source | BRTC CIL Spending list 2021 Parish CIL apportionment receipts £4.3k (June 2023). BRTC clarify cost estimate from ADC. BRT consider scheme may be a manageable cost for BRTC, to be part-funded by CIL receipts within five years of receipt. Longer term if more cost implications would look for CIL. No contact has yet been made with potential joint funding providers | | | | | | | |
| | 37/PC | Make Ford Road Safe - A cycle and walking route between Arundel and Ford along the Ford Road. This project is the Arundel to ford station link - part of 18/ADC/TI Route 8 Arundel to Littlehampton A27-A259 | Contribution of £450k over yr1, Yr2 and Y3 (i.e. £150k pa) Detailed Design Stage cost estimate provided by Highways England £350k (2022/23) Full project delivery cost £4m (2023/24) | £0 No s.106 funding at April 2024 | No. Discussions ongoing - likely to be agreed by Nov 2021. Provider 1 Highways England cost contribution 80-90% Provider 2 WSCC/ADC 9-19% 2022 £31.5 – 66.5k 2023/24 £315 – 950 | See funding allocation under 18/ADC/TI Route 8 which will cover ADC CIL contribution Y1 and Yr2 | CIL | Unknown Starting 2022/23 | |

Agreed (on a signed s106 but no certainty of knowing if or when it is to be paid), **Secured** (More certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met or shortly due to be met) and **Received** (We hold the funds)

| Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--------------------------------------|---|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| Column 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. Phasing Period | 12. |
| Infrastructure Type | ID No. | Project | Projected Cost (£) | Funding Secured (£). ¹ | Consultation Update | Net CIL (£). ² | Proposed Funding Arrangement. | | Prioritisation rating RAG |
| | | | | | Provider 3 Arundel Town Council 1% 2022 £3.5k 2023/24 £35-50k | | | | |
| 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by Arundel Parish Council, WSCC, Highways England, Arundel TC received £110,678 in CIL payments in 2023/24. | | | | | | | | |
| 11. Evidence source | Arundel CIL Spending List 202, WSCC LCWIP prioritisation process, Highways England Designated Funds -A27 NMU link improvements package, Ford to Arundel 2020. Project number 70055187 | | | | | | | | |
| 41/PC/ | The Lido Extended Activities Plan (LEAP) | Contribution of £150k LEAP project cost estimated at £3m | £0 No s.106 funding at April 2024 | No. | £150k | CIL | Unknown 2023 | | |
| 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by Arundel Parish Council, Arundel Lido charitable trust who would lead the project, Lottery Funding bid, Arundel TC received £110,678 in CIL payments in 2023/24. | | | | | | | | |
| 11. Evidence source | Arundel CIL Spending List 2021. Supported by ADC (Leisure Strategy) and Arundel Town Council (Neighbourhood Plan). South Downs National Park support new leisure and included on SDNP IBP Policy 8 of the Arundel Neighbourhood Plan support additional leisure and community use at the Arundel Lido' | | | | | | | | |
| 42/PC/ | Leisure and community route signage in Arundel. | Contribution of £27k | £0 No s.106 funding at | No. No details demonstrate | £27k | CIL | Unknown July 2022 | | |

Agreed (on a signed s106 but no certainty of knowing if or when it is to be paid), **Secured** (More certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met or shortly due to be met) and **Received** (We hold the funds)

| Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|---------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Column 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. Phasing Period | 12. |
| Infrastructure Type | ID No. | Project | Projected Cost (£) | Funding Secured (£). ¹ | Consultation Update | Net CIL (£). ² | Proposed Funding Arrangement. | | Prioritisation rating RAG |
| | | | Project cost: £30k | April 2024 | costs/procurement. No agreement of costs with providers in place. | | | | |
| 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by Arundel Parish Council (Arundel TC received £110,678 in CIL payments in 2023/24). Seek scope for cross boundary opportunity with SDNPA. | | | | | | | | |
| 11. Evidence source | Arundel CIL Spending List 2021 | | | | | | | | |
| | 43/PC/ | Arundel Green Infrastructure Network | Contribution of £9k Project cost: £10k | £0 No s.106 funding at April 2024 | No. No further details to demonstrate costs/procurement. No agreement of costs with providers in place. Arundel would | £9k | CIL | Unknown August 2022 | |

Agreed (on a signed s106 but no certainty of knowing if or when it is to be paid), **Secured** (More certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met or shortly due to be met) and **Received** (We hold the funds)

| Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|---|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| Column 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. Phasing Period | 12. |
| Infrastructure Type | ID No. | Project | Projected Cost (£) | Funding Secured (£). ¹ | Consultation Update | Net CIL (£). ² | Proposed Funding Arrangement. | | Prioritisation rating RAG |
| | | | | | allocate £1,000 yr 1 | | | | |
| | 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by Arundel Parish Council (Arundel TC received £110,678 in CIL payments in 2023/24), WSCC, South Downs. Seek cross boundary opportunity with SDNPA. | | | | | | | |
| | 11. Evidence source | Arundel CIL Spending List 2021, The need for a Green Infrastructure Network was identified in the Arundel Neighbourhood Plan | | | | | | | |
| | 45/PC/ | Community Building for Proposed Ford Road Development | Contribution of £400k Project cost: £500k | £0 No s.106 funding at April 2024 | No. No further details to demonstrate costs/procurement. No agreement of costs with providers in place. | £400k | CIL S106 | Unknown | |
| | 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by Arundel Parish Council (Arundel TC received £110,678 in CIL payments in 2023/24). | | | | | | | |
| | 11. Evidence source | Arundel CIL Spending List 2021 | | | | | | | |
| | 51/PC | Speed Activated sign. | £2000 - £2500 | £0 | No. | £2-2500 | CIL | Unknown | |

Agreed (on a signed s106 but no certainty of knowing if or when it is to be paid), **Secured** (More certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met or shortly due to be met) and **Received** (We hold the funds)

| Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| Column 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. Phasing Period | 12. |
| Infrastructure Type | ID No. | Project | Projected Cost (£) | Funding Secured (£). ¹ | Consultation Update | Net CIL (£). ² | Proposed Funding Arrangement. | | Prioritisation rating RAG |
| | | Chalcraft Lane | | No s.106 at April 2024 | | | | | |
| 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by Bersted Parish Council WSCC | | | | | | | | |
| 11. Evidence source | Bersted CIL spending List. Parish CIL apportionment receipts £3k (June 2023). | | | | | | | | |
| 52/PC | | | Unknown | £0 No s.106 funding at April 2024 | No. Map included on area. However, no further details to demonstrate costs. No agreement of costs with providers in place. | £0 | CIL | Unknown 2021 | |
| 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by Bersted Parish Council, WSCC, ADC Engineers | | | | | | | | |

Agreed (on a signed s106 but no certainty of knowing if or when it is to be paid), **Secured** (More certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met or shortly due to be met) and **Received** (We hold the funds)

| Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|---|---------------------|---|----------------------|--|-------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| Column 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. Phasing Period | 12. |
| Infrastructure Type | ID No. | Project | Projected Cost (£) | Funding Secured (£). ¹ | Consultation Update | Net CIL (£). ² | Proposed Funding Arrangement. | | Prioritisation rating RAG |
| | 11. Evidence source | Bersted CIL spending List, Parish CIL apportionment receipts £3k (June 2023). | | | | | | | |
| | 53/PC | New or replacement outdoor play/gym equipment (PAY) | £500-£1000 per item | £7k received from BE/60/15/PL towards 'Bersted Park Play Area' April 2024 | No. No map provided. | £0 but depends if the £7k from S106 covers it? | CIL S106 | Unknown 2021 | |
| | 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by Bersted Parish Council, Sports England, ADC parks | | | | | | | |
| | 11. Evidence source | Bersted CIL Spending List, Parish CIL apportionment receipts £3k (June 2023). | | | | | | | |
| | 58/PC | Boules/Petanque at Mewsbrook Park; Outdoor Leisure Equipment | Unknown | 0 No s.106 funding at April 2024 | No. | Unknown | CIL | Unknown 2025 | |
| | 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by Littlehampton Town Council ADC | | | | | | | |
| | 11. Evidence source | Littlehampton CIL Spending List, Parish CIL apportionment receipts £2.5k (June 2023). | | | | | | | |
| | 59/PC | Wick Information Centre (WIC) | Unknown | 0 | No. | Unknown | CIL | Unknown | |

Agreed (on a signed s106 but no certainty of knowing if or when it is to be paid), **Secured** (More certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met or shortly due to be met) and **Received** (We hold the funds)

| Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|---|--------------------|--|--|---------------------------|--|-------------------|---------------------------|
| Column 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. Phasing Period | 12. |
| Infrastructure Type | ID No. | Project | Projected Cost (£) | Funding Secured (£). ¹ | Consultation Update | Net CIL (£). ² | Proposed Funding Arrangement. | | Prioritisation rating RAG |
| | | | | No s.106 funding at April 2024 | | | | Tbc | |
| | 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by Littlehampton Town Council, ADC | | | | | | | |
| | 11. Evidence source | Littlehampton CIL Spending List, Parish CIL apportionment receipts £2.5k (June 2023). | | | | | | | |
| | 60/PC | North Littlehampton Community Centre & Youth Facility. Request is to extend facilities once built. (CCC, CCL) | Unknown | £42,903 received and allocated from s.106 and £1,008,500 agreed in s.106 for the main fitting out of the community building. (at April 2024) | No. No cost procurement info provided. | £0 | S106 CIL reconsider once facilities are built. | Unknown 2023 | |
| | 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by Littlehampton Town Council ADC | | | | | | | |
| | 11. Evidence source | Littlehampton CIL Spending List, Parish CIL apportionment receipts £2.5k (June 2023). | | | | | | | |

Agreed (on a signed s106 but no certainty of knowing if or when it is to be paid), **Secured** (More certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met or shortly due to be met) and **Received** (We hold the funds)

| Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|---|--------------------|---|--|---------------------------|--|--|---------------------------|
| Column 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. Phasing Period | 12. |
| Infrastructure Type | ID No. | Project | Projected Cost (£) | Funding Secured (£). ¹ | Consultation Update | Net CIL (£). ² | Proposed Funding Arrangement. | | Prioritisation rating RAG |
| | 61/PC | Cycleway – Pagham to Chichester (HW6) | Unknown | £0 £239,954 agreed in s.106 funding at April 2024 | No. No costs/procurement details WSCC can confirm that a feasibility study is currently being finalised on this route. | £0 | Part funded by S106 CIL | Unknown In line with strategic development | |
| | 10. Delivery Partners | Promoted by Pagham Parish Council, ADC, WSCC | | | | | | | |
| | 11. Evidence source | Pagham CIL Spending List, Parish CIL apportionment receipts £23.8k (June 2023) . Significant contributions via s.106 will be delivered by Strategic Allocations to such facilities. CIL receipts will be nominal. However, see: Route 12: Active travel route (safe cycling) - Aldwick Region at project 22 ADC TI above | | | | | | | |
| | 67NHS | Primary care centre, Littlehampton (HCL) | £4 mil Est | £866,803 received in s.106 funding. £409,884 agreed in s.106 funding at April 2024 | No. . | | A joint council WSCC and NHS remises build was preferred OPE (One Public Estate) project which WSCC concluded was not viable (for multiple users/stakeholders) | Unknown Not specified | |

Agreed (on a signed s106 but no certainty of knowing if or when it is to be paid), **Secured** (More certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met or shortly due to be met) and **Received** (We hold the funds)

| Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---|--|-------------------|---------------------------|
| Column 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. Phasing Period | 12. |
| Infrastructure Type | ID No. | Project | Projected Cost (£) | Funding Secured (£). ¹ | Consultation Update | Net CIL (£). ² | Proposed Funding Arrangement. | | Prioritisation rating RAG |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 10. Delivery Partners | NHS | | | | | | | | |
| 11. Evidence source | NHS Estate Plan | | | | | | | | |
| 68NHS | Grove House GP Practice, Pagham or site in Bersted TBC (HC5, HC7) | £2m Est (but if no s106 until 2030, project could reach £4m). | £1,565,402 in agreed or secured s.106 funds and £10,127 in received s.106 funds at April 2024 To be funded as s.106 mitigation of Strategic allocations | No. Options under review | £424k | S106 GP owner to pay the difference left. | Unknown Not specified. Developer s.106 | | |
| 10. Delivery Partners | NHS | | | | | | | | |
| 11. Evidence source | NHS Estate Plan | | | | | | | | |

Agreed (on a signed s106 but no certainty of knowing if or when it is to be paid), **Secured** (More certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met or shortly due to be met) and **Received** (We hold the funds)

| Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|--|--------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Column 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. Phasing Period | 12. |
| Infrastructure Type | ID No. | Project | Projected Cost (£) | Funding Secured (£). ¹ | Consultation Update | Net CIL (£). ² | Proposed Funding Arrangement. | | Prioritisation rating RAG |
| | 69NHS | Croft (Eastergate) New Build (HC2, HC1, HCC, HC9, NHS) | £7m | £873,595 received from s106 and £831,861 in agreed s.106 at April 2024 | No. New 2023 | £5.3m | S106 & CIL | Unknown Aiming for 2024 | |
| | 10. Delivery Partners | NHS | | | | | | | |
| | 11. Evidence source | | | | | | | | |
| | 70NHS | Yapton (Avisford GP) New Build (HCV, HCW) | £5.4m | £284,690 received from s.106 at April 2024 | No. New 2023 | £5.1m | S106 & CIL | Unknown No Timescale | |
| | 10. Delivery Partners | NHS | | | | | | | |
| | 11. Evidence source | | | | | | | | |
| | 71NHS | Littlehampton Health Centre (extension) (HCL, NHS) | £2,263,800m | £866,803 received in s.106 funding. £409,884 agreed in s.106 | No. New 2023 | £987k | S106 & CIL | Unknown Aiming for 2024 | |

Agreed (on a signed s106 but no certainty of knowing if or when it is to be paid), **Secured** (More certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met or shortly due to be met) and **Received** (We hold the funds)

| Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|--|--------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Column 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. Phasing Period | 12. |
| Infrastructure Type | ID No. | Project | Projected Cost (£) | Funding Secured (£). ¹ | Consultation Update | Net CIL (£). ² | Proposed Funding Arrangement. | | Prioritisation rating RAG |
| | | | | funding at April 2024 | | | | | |
| 10. Delivery Partners | NHS | | | | | | | | |
| 11. Evidence source | | | | | | | | | |
| 72NHS | | North Littlehampton Fitzalan GP (HCL, NHS) | £4.5m | See 71NHS | No. New 2023 | £4.41m | S106 & CIL | Unknown No Timescale | |
| 10. Delivery Partners | NHS | | | | | | | | |
| 11. Evidence source | | | | | | | | | |
| 73NHS | | Angmering GP (HCA, HCG, HCJ) | £2m | £603,857 received in s.106 funds £47,679 agreed in s.106 at April 2024 | No. New 2023 Reviewing options | £1.34m | S106 & CIL | Unknown No Timescale | |
| 10. Delivery Partners | NHS | | | | | | | | |

Agreed (on a signed s106 but no certainty of knowing if or when it is to be paid), **Secured** (More certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met or shortly due to be met) and **Received** (We hold the funds)

| Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|---|--|---|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| Column 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. Phasing Period | 12. |
| Infrastructure Type | ID No. | Project | Projected Cost (£) | Funding Secured (£). ¹ | Consultation Update | Net CIL (£). ² | Proposed Funding Arrangement. | | Prioritisation rating RAG |
| | 11. Evidence source | | | | | | | | |
| | 74NHS | Bognor Health Centre (HC3) | £1.25m | £145,838 received from S106 but some or all could be spent on other projects. £91,460 in agreed s.106 | No. New 2023 | £1.012m | S106 & CIL | Unknown No Timescale | |
| | 10. Delivery Partners | NHS | | | | | | | |
| | 11. Evidence source | | | | | | | | |
| | 75/ADC/GI | Palmer Road Sports Hub, Angmering (PA8) | £5.083m Although Football Foundation cost tbc | £1.485m received from s.106 some of which is already spent £1.681m in Secured or Agreed s.106 at April 2024 Football Foundation £TBC | Yes New 2023 | £1.917m -(plus S106 = £5.056m) | S106 & CIL | 2025-28 if project cost can be met, if not, potentially spread across 2 IIP periods. | |

Agreed (on a signed s106 but no certainty of knowing if or when it is to be paid), **Secured** (More certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met or shortly due to be met) and **Received** (We hold the funds)

| Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---------|--|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| Column 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. Phasing Period | 12. |
| Infrastructure Type | ID No. | Project | Projected Cost (£) | Funding Secured (£). ¹ | Consultation Update | Net CIL (£). ² | Proposed Funding Arrangement. | | Prioritisation rating RAG |
| | | | | (As at April 2024) | | | | | |
| 10. Delivery Partners | ADC | | | | | | | | |
| 11. Evidence source | | | | | | | | | |
| 76/ADC/GI | Brookfield Adventure Park, Rustington | £80k | £40k ADC No s.106 funds at April 2024 | No. New 2023 | | ADC Capital & CIL | Unknown 2023/24 | | |
| 10. Delivery Partners | ADC | | | | | | | | |
| 11. Evidence source | Identified in Capital Strategy for delivery in 2023/24 | | | | | | | | |
| 77/IDP/WM | Littlehampton Waste Recycling Centre | £3.645m | TBC – WSCC Capital £0.6m No s.106 funds at April 2024 | Yes. New 2023 | £3.045m Y1 £1m Y2 £1m Y3 £452K | WSCC & CIL | 2024 – 2027 Ready to start | | |
| 10. Delivery Partners | WSCC – Ready to commence. Update at Liaison meeting. Updated figures June 2024 | | | | | | | | |
| 11. Evidence source | | | | | | | | | |

Agreed (on a signed s106 but no certainty of knowing if or when it is to be paid), **Secured** (More certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met or shortly due to be met) and **Received** (We hold the funds)

| Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|--|--------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| Column 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. Phasing Period | 12. |
| Infrastructure Type | ID No. | Project | Projected Cost (£) | Funding Secured (£). ¹ | Consultation Update | Net CIL (£). ² | Proposed Funding Arrangement. | | Prioritisation rating RAG |
| | 78/PC/CM | Horsemere Green Lane – Provision of pavement | £150k | £15k PC CIL s.106 funds are agreed which should either contribute to or provide these improvements. April 2024 | New 2024 | 135K | Climping PC | | |
| | 10. Delivery Partners | Climping PC. There is a significant development that may trigger s.106 contributions. The scale is unknown but is likely to be significant and so there is uncertainty over the need for Arun District CIL funding at this time. | | | | | | | |
| | 11. Evidence source | WSCC Vision & Objective, CM/1/17/OUT, F/4/20/OUT, ALP Climping PC received £12,655 CIL payments in 2023. | | | | | | | |
| | 79/ADC/GI | Ferring Village Green Play Area | £78,926.23 | ADC – 34k £2022.21 of s.106 funds spent 2023-24 Ferring Parish – 13k | New 2024 | £30k | ADC Parks Ferring PC | | |
| | 10. Delivery Partners | ADC | | | | | | | |

Agreed (on a signed s106 but no certainty of knowing if or when it is to be paid), **Secured** (More certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met or shortly due to be met) and **Received** (We hold the funds)

| Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| Column 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. Phasing Period | 12. |
| Infrastructure Type | ID No. | Project | Projected Cost (£) | Funding Secured (£). ¹ | Consultation Update | Net CIL (£). ² | Proposed Funding Arrangement. | | Prioritisation rating RAG |
| | 11. Evidence source | ADC Play strategy 2018-2028 | | | | | | | |

DRAFT