

**Agreed** (on a signed s106 but no absolute certainty of knowing if/when it is to be paid), **Secured** (Certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met) and **Received** (finance are holding the funds)

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.											
Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Project Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). <sup>1</sup>	Consultation Update	Net CIL (£). <sup>2</sup>	Proposed Funding Arrangement.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
<b>Green infrastructure</b>	1/IDP/GI	Arundel to Littlehampton Green Link Walking and Cycle provision along the River Arun between Littlehampton and Arundel	£9.8m and £15.8m for the main route and a further £1.4m for various connecting elements.	£62k from business rate pool  No s.106 June 2021	None	£9.74m - £15.74m	CIL and other contributions tbc	A contribution of approximately £2-3 million would be requested in the short to medium term – 2-5 years.	Promoted by ADC  Economic Development. Greenspace; Town and Parish Councils; Environment Agency	ICSDP, 2017  Arun Cycleway Feasibility Study (presented to Littlehampton Regeneration Sub-Committee, March 2021).	Essential
	2/IDP/GI	Felpham Rife Countryside Park – links to BEW rife parkland and old canal	£3.5 million £115,000 per annum maintenance	£0  No s.106 June 2021	None	£3.5 million £115,000 per annum maintenance	Anticipate S.106 from BEW cover majority cost	Tbc -in line with BEW Rife parkland	Promoted by ADC  Greenspace; BEW site promoter	ICSDP, 2017  Green Infrastructure Study 2012	Essential

<sup>1</sup> Column 5 Funding Secured (£): **Agreed** (on a signed s.106) **Secured** (Certainty- trigger for payment met) **Received** (finance are holding the funds)

<sup>2</sup> Column 7 Net CIL required i.e. net of s.106 or other contributions set out in column 5.

**Agreed** (on a signed s106 but no absolute certainty of knowing if/when it is to be paid), **Secured** (Certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met) and **Received** (finance are holding the funds)

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.											
Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Project Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). <sup>1</sup>	Consultation Update	Net CIL (£). <sup>2</sup>	Proposed Funding Arrangement.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
							CIL and other contributions tbc		s; landowners; Environment Agency	Bognor Regis GI Framework A Landscape & Green Infrastructure Framework Connecting Bognor Regis to the South Downs National Park	
	3/IDP/GI	Urban Greening Project North Bersted	£10k	£0	None	£10k	CIL and parish CIL tbc	2023-2024	Promoted by ADC  Parks and Greenspace	ICSDP, 2017  Green Infrastructure Study 2012  Greenspace CIL spending list 2021	Essential

**Agreed** (on a signed s106 but no absolute certainty of knowing if/when it is to be paid), **Secured** (Certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met) and **Received** (finance are holding the funds)

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.											
Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Project Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). <sup>1</sup>	Consultation Update	Net CIL (£). <sup>2</sup>	Proposed Funding Arrangement.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
	4/IDP/GI	Urban Greening Project Wick, Littlehampton	£10k	£0	None	£10k	CIL and parish CIL tbc	2023-2024	Promoted by ADC  Parks and Greenspace	ICSDP, 2017  Green Infrastructure Study 2012  Greenspace CIL spending list 2021	Essential

**Agreed** (on a signed s106 but no absolute certainty of knowing if/when it is to be paid), **Secured** (Certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met) and **Received** (finance are holding the funds)

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.											
Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Project Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). <sup>1</sup>	Consultation Update	Net CIL (£). <sup>2</sup>	Proposed Funding Arrangement.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
	5/ADC/GI	Gateway to South Downs National Park (SM10) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Enhanced pedestrian/cycle/bridle access.</li> <li>- New shared pedestrian/cycle / bridle route linking the housing developments to the underpass.</li> <li>- Tree Planting and wildflower verge to path.</li> <li>- Lighting, surfacing and signage enhancements to underpass.</li> </ul> New pedestrian crossing	£280k	£0  No s.106 June 2021	None	£280k	CIL  S106  North/South Consortium	In line with Fontwell Strategic Allocation WA/48/19/RES	Promoted by ADC  South Downs National Park Authority	Bognor Regis GI Framework A Landscape & Green Infrastructure Framework Connecting Bognor Regis to the South Downs National Park	

**Agreed** (on a signed s106 but no absolute certainty of knowing if/when it is to be paid), **Secured** (Certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met) and **Received** (finance are holding the funds)

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.											
Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Project Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). <sup>1</sup>	Consultation Update	Net CIL (£). <sup>2</sup>	Proposed Funding Arrangement.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
	6/ADC/GI	New (South Downs) north-south pedestrian/cycle/bridle route (SM9) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- New shared pedestrian/cycle/bridle route.</li> <li>- Avenue tree planting.</li> </ul> Wildflower verge to path.	£1.1m	£0  No s.106 June 2021	None	£1.1m	CIL  S106  North/South Consortium	In line with Fontwell Strategic Allocation WA/48/19/RES	Promoted by ADC  South Downs National Park Authority	Bognor Regis GI Framework A Landscape & Green Infrastructure Framework Connecting Bognor Regis to the South Downs National Park	

**Agreed** (on a signed s106 but no absolute certainty of knowing if/when it is to be paid), **Secured** (Certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met) and **Received** (finance are holding the funds)

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.											
Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Project Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). <sup>1</sup>	Consultation Update	Net CIL (£). <sup>2</sup>	Proposed Funding Arrangement.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
	7/ADC/GI	Eldon Way POS, Littlehampton – provision of MUGA and landscape improvements OS1,PAG (ELD Parks service internal code for earmarking allocation of funds received (clarify how this relates to the relocation of the Keystone Centre)	£94k	£35,666 received allocated and available to spend on the project. potentially more funding available under s106 linked to the 'general POS/Play area' project codes, which is £22,326 earmarked to Eldon way POS	Between a) feasibility and b) preliminary design	£36k	CIL S106	Within 1 year	Promoted by ADC	Parks & Greenspace CIL spending list 2021	
	8/ADC/GI	Hotham Park play area improvements	£60k	£0 No s.106	None	£60k	CIL	Within 1 year	Promoted by ADC	Parks & Greenspace CIL spending list 2021	
	9/ADC/GI	King George V (KGV) Recreation Ground, Felpham – pitch improvements S106 project code is PAX	£300k	£0 Agreed £159,600	None	£140,400	CIL	2024-2025	Promoted by ADC	Parks & Greenspace CIL spending list 2021	

**Agreed** (on a signed s106 but no absolute certainty of knowing if/when it is to be paid), **Secured** (Certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met) and **Received** (finance are holding the funds)

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.											
Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Project Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). <sup>1</sup>	Consultation Update	Net CIL (£). <sup>2</sup>	Proposed Funding Arrangement.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
	10/ADC/GI	Lions Den, Littlehampton – play area improvements	£60K	£0 No s.106	None	£60k	CIL	Within 2 years	Promoted by ADC	Parks & Greenspace CIL spending list 2021	
	11/ADC/GI	Southfields Recreation Ground, Littlehampton – pitch improvements S106 project code is SPE	£100k	£0 Secured £19,353		£80,647	CIL	2023-2024.	Promoted by ADC	Parks & Greenspace CIL spending list 2021	

**Agreed** (on a signed s106 but no absolute certainty of knowing if/when it is to be paid), **Secured** (Certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met) and **Received** (finance are holding the funds)

<b>Waste Management</b>	12/IDP/ WM	Reconfiguration of Westhampnett transfer station/household waste recycling site to increase capacity to meet future demand. 100% of Arun's residual waste is bulked up for onward treatment/disposal.	£2.5m  (£5 million in total to be split 50:50 with Chichester District )	Funds received via s106 £50,972 as of Nov 2021	WSCC propose CIL Indicative funding for Arun should be	£2.45m		Medium Term	Promoted by ADC, WSCC and Chichester District Council	ICSDP, 2017 and resubmitted by WSCC in 2021. Outline business case approved internally at WSCC. Capital Programme for recommendation for approval at Council in February 2022. Added WSCC Waste Asset Strategy. Chichester District Council Essential in IBP (IBP710 £250k 2022/23 and	<b>High Priority</b>	
					£200k			a)c) £50k feasibility preliminary design				Yr1
					£1.125m			d) £1.2m detailed design				Yr2
					£1.125m			e) £1.2m <i>Construction</i>				Yr3
					Initial design x 4 options prepared and 2 now being considered for the redesign/reconfiguration of the Westhampnett site.							
					Indicative costs, c£4.5m and £5.2m (plus							



**Agreed** (on a signed s106 but no absolute certainty of knowing if/when it is to be paid), **Secured** (Certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met) and **Received** (finance are holding the funds)

					<p>professional fees).</p> <p>c£2.5m for Arun estimate of project preparation and delivery costs TBC: - A to C10% of costs, D 10% of cost and E 80% of the cost.</p> <p>Project is funded by two authorities so fund draw down drawing down may vary between the authorities.</p> <p>Funds received via s106 of £42,472 in Arun (FP9204 site 6). S106 £20,000 in</p>					£2.25m 2023/24)	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	-----------------	--

**Agreed** (on a signed s106 but no absolute certainty of knowing if/when it is to be paid), **Secured** (Certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met) and **Received** (finance are holding the funds)

					<p>Chichester District.</p> <p>The previous information identified that the project was to be delivered over two years, as set out in the Chichester IBP. This is now being requested to be amended for delivery over three years using CIL from each authority.</p>						
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

**Agreed** (on a signed s106 but no absolute certainty of knowing if/when it is to be paid), **Secured** (Certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met) and **Received** (finance are holding the funds)

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.											
Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Project Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). <sup>1</sup>	Consultation Update	Net CIL (£). <sup>2</sup>	Proposed Funding Arrangement.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
<b>Leisure</b>	13/IDP/L	New District Leisure Centre Potentially project codes SPG or SWI could be used	Up to £30 million based on current estimates.	£0 Agreed £624,519  If some or all of these projects can be used <u>£582,267</u> net of existing ALC refurbishment * [*Received £42,252]	None	£29.42m	CIL required to part fund. Other funding is expected from S106 agreements and possible opportunity to apply for Sport England funding.	2028- 2030	Promoted by ADC  WSCC Leisure	ICSDP, 2017 and resubmitted by Leisure in 2021	High Priority

**Agreed** (on a signed s106 but no absolute certainty of knowing if/when it is to be paid), **Secured** (Certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met) and **Received** (finance are holding the funds)

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.											
Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Project ed Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). <sup>1</sup>	Consultatio n Update	Net CIL (£). <sup>2</sup>	Proposed Funding Arrangem ent.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
<b>Emergency Services</b>	15/IDP/ES	Expansion and Improvements to Bognor Police Station POB, POT, POV, POG, PON	£1,920,000	£117,415 through S106  Agreed £231,359 (A proportion - multiple potential projects share these Police contributions)  Secured £22,710 (A proportion)  Received £16,212 (A proportion)	None	£1.79m	CIL S106	2024/25-commencement	Promoted by ADC and Sussex Police	ICSDP, 2017 and resubmitted by Sussex Police in 2021	Essential
	16/IDP/ES	Relocation or redevelopment of Littlehampton Fire Station FD2	2 bay fire station is £5.4m, 3 bay £7.0m.	‘£0 No s.106 November 2021 collected specifically for this project to date.	Yes. Cost estimates via independent study for new fire stations, based on 2	£5.4-£7.0m	WSCC Capital/CIL  Estimated a) feasibility to	Tbc	Promoted by ADC and WSCC	ICSDP, 2017 and resubmitted by WSCC in 2021. To be included in Communit	Essential

**Agreed** (on a signed s106 but no absolute certainty of knowing if/when it is to be paid), **Secured** (Certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met) and **Received** (finance are holding the funds)

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.											
Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Project Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). <sup>1</sup>	Consultation Update	Net CIL (£). <sup>2</sup>	Proposed Funding Arrangement.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
					bay fire station c£5.4m or 3 bay would be c£7m. estimate of project preparation and delivery costs, to be confirmed – a-c10% of costs, D 10% of cost and e 80% of cost		c) preliminary design £100k d) detailed design £100k e) Construction £1.232m e) Construction £3.77m to £5.37m	Yr1  Yr2  Yr 3+  Yr4+ IIP2		y Risk Management Plan 3-5 year period 2022-2027 -as high priority (enable Fire Service to met stat duties). CRMP consultation autumn 2021	
	17/IDP/ES	Littlehampton Police Station redevelopment PO2, PO3, POA, POZ	£1,222,000	£229,352 through S106 Agreed £322,117 (A proportion - multiple potential projects share some of these Police contributions)	None.	£899,883	CIL S106	2024/25	Promoted by ADC and Sussex Police capital program.	ICSDP, 2017 and resubmitted by Sussex Police in 2021.	Essential

**Agreed** (on a signed s106 but no absolute certainty of knowing if/when it is to be paid), **Secured** (Certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met) and **Received** (finance are holding the funds)

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.											
Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Project ed Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). <sup>1</sup>	Consultatio n Update	Net CIL (£). <sup>2</sup>	Proposed Funding Arrangem ent.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
Transport Infrastructure	18/ADC/ TI	Route 8: Active travel route (safe cycling) from Arundel to Littlehampton via Ford Station between A259 and A27  Linked with 37PC  Note: Arundel Ford Scheme to LTN120 Standard significant cost initial WSCC feasibility WSP £3.84m+	£1.9-2.2 million  Option 8a) £1.9m Option 8b) £2.2m	£0  No s.106 June 2021	Active Travel/ LCWIP A Steering Group established, (WSCC, National Highways, Arun District Council and Arundel Town Council).  National Highways indicate £15,000 Designated Funds for WSP Consultancy work on business case this financial year to obtain National Highways'	£1.9m – £2.2m Based on upper cost range: - a)c) feasibility/preliminary design £100k d) detailed design £100k e) Construction (£2m):- £896k e) Construction (£2m):-	CIL S106	Tbc – to discuss with WSCC  Yr1  Yr2  Yr3	Promoted by ADC  WSCC  National Highways	Active Travel Study (one of 5 priorities)  Highways England Ford to Arundel Study Feb 2020 WSP  Highways England Designated Funds - A27 NMU link improvements package Ford to Arundel 2020. Project number 70055187  WSCC included	

**Agreed** (on a signed s106 but no absolute certainty of knowing if/when it is to be paid), **Secured** (Certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met) and **Received** (finance are holding the funds)

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.											
Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Project Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). <sup>1</sup>	Consultation Update	Net CIL (£). <sup>2</sup>	Proposed Funding Arrangement.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
					contribution to the pathway costs.  Informal engagement with the owners adjacent to the Ford Road, confirmed prepared to make available for the safe cycle pathway.	£604k - £904k		Yr4 IIP2		Arundel to Ford section within LCWIP prioritisation work supported by Arundel Parish Council  See also 37PC	
	19/ADC/TI	Route 2: Active travel route Fontwell to Felpham	£590,000	£0 No s.106 June 2021	Active Travel.	£590,000	CIL S106	To align with Fontwell and Northern BEW site	Promoted by ADC WSCC	Active Travel Study (one of 5 priorities)	
	20/ADC/TI	Route 6: Active Travel Route Ford- North Mundham	£3,352,500	£0 No s.106 June 2021	Active Travel	£3,352,500	CIL S106	To align with Ford, Yapton and BEW strategic sites	Promoted by ADC WSCC	Active Travel Study (one of 5 priorities)	

**Agreed** (on a signed s106 but no absolute certainty of knowing if/when it is to be paid), **Secured** (Certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met) and **Received** (finance are holding the funds)

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.											
Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Projected Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). <sup>1</sup>	Consultation Update	Net CIL (£). <sup>2</sup>	Proposed Funding Arrangement.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
	21/ADC/TI	Route 9: Active Travel Route – Littlehampton - Goring by Sea NCN2 - inbound option	£2,500,000	£0 No s.106 June 2021	Active Travel	£2,500,000	CIL S106		Promoted by ADC WSCC Note Kingston Parish council Objection to elements of route options on Elmer road Kingston Lane and use of Prow involving private land.	Active Travel Study (one of 5 priorities)	
	22/ADC/TI	Route 12: Active travel route (safe cycling) - Aldwick Region	£3,326,000	£0 No s.106 June 2021	Active Travel	£3,326,000	CIL S106	To align with West of Bersted allocation	Promoted by ADC WSCC	Active Travel Study (one of 5 priorities)	



**Agreed** (on a signed s106 but no absolute certainty of knowing if/when it is to be paid), **Secured** (Certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met) and **Received** (finance are holding the funds)

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.											
Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Project ed Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). <sup>1</sup>	Consultation Update	Net CIL (£). <sup>2</sup>	Proposed Funding Arrangement.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
	23/ADC/TI	Ford Lane Level Crossing	£9.1m	£3m requested (not secured)  No s.106 as of June 2021	None	£9.1	S106	Tbc and in alignment with Ford allocation.	Promoted by ADC  WSCC	Transport Apportionment	
	24/ADC/TI	A259 Oystercatcher Improvements HWU  Project part of 26/ADC/TI – see project 26/ADC/TI for overall project details	£5.8m  Project part of 26/ADC/TI – see project 26/ADC/TI for overall project details	£3.653m Agreed £1,384,136  Project part of 26/ADC/TI – see project 26/ADC/TI for overall project details	Yes.  Project part of 26/ADC/TI – see project 26/ADC/TI for overall project details	£4,415,864  Project part of 26/ADC/TI – see project 26/ADC/TI for overall project details	S106  Project part of 26/ADC/TI – see project 26/ADC/TI for overall project details	Tbc  Project part of 26/ADC/TI – see project 26/ADC/TI for overall project details	Promoted by ADC  WSCC	Transport Apportionment	
	25/ADC/TI	A29 Realignment HWA	£69.765m Phase 1 £22.669m and	9.9m Grant funding from Coast to Capital LEP WSCC £11.9m	Yes.		LEP Grant funding, WSCC and S106 £44.459m will be sought	Tbc and in alignment with BEW.	Promoted by ADC  WSCC	Transport Apportionment	

**Agreed** (on a signed s106 but no absolute certainty of knowing if/when it is to be paid), **Secured** (Certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met) and **Received** (finance are holding the funds)

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.											
Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Project Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). <sup>1</sup>	Consultation Update	Net CIL (£). <sup>2</sup>	Proposed Funding Arrangement.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
			Phase 2 £47.09 6m				from Fontwell, West Bersted and BEW strategic developments		Phase 1 -WSCC Phase 2 – BEW Developers		
	26/ADC/TI	A259 Corridor Improvement scheme (Bognor Regis – Littlehampton) H04	£30m	Bid for £25m to DfT. Minimum of 15% funding will be required from local sources.	Yes.  West Sussex County Council consultation June – August 2021	TBC	DfT and local sources TBC	Tbc – project ongoing and led by WSCC	Promoted by ADC  WSCC	Transport Apportionment	
	27/ADC/TI	A284 Lyminster Bypass North	£27.33 4m	Agreed £3.761m S106  Secured £1.123m S106 received  Received £3m grant funding	Yes.	N/A.	S106, Grant and WSCC	Tbc	Promoted by ADC  WSCC	Transport Apportionment	

**Agreed** (on a signed s106 but no absolute certainty of knowing if/when it is to be paid), **Secured** (Certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met) and **Received** (finance are holding the funds)

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.											
Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Project Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). <sup>1</sup>	Consultation Update	Net CIL (£). <sup>2</sup>	Proposed Funding Arrangement.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
	31/PC/	Urban Greening project for a tree lined avenue in Bognor Regis (Shripney road)	£5-6k	£725	<p>BRTC clarify cost estimate from ADC.</p> <p>BRT consider scheme may be a manageable cost for BRTC, to be part-funded by CIL receipts within five years of receipt. Longer term if more cost implications would look for CIL. No contact has yet been made with potential joint funding providers</p>	£5-6k	Part funded by CIL BRTC	Within current IIP	<p>Promoted by Bognor Town Council</p> <p>ADC</p>	BRTC CIL Spending list 2021	

**Agreed** (on a signed s106 but no absolute certainty of knowing if/when it is to be paid), **Secured** (Certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met) and **Received** (finance are holding the funds)

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.											
Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Project ed Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). <sup>1</sup>	Consultatio n Update	Net CIL (£). <sup>2</sup>	Proposed Funding Arrangem ent.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
	32/PC/	Fletchers Field refurbishment and upgrade (Angmering)	£50,000-£70,000	£0	None.	£50-70,000	CIL		Promoted by Angmering Parish Council  ADC parks	Angmering CIL spending list 2021	
	37/PC	Make Ford Road Safe - A cycle and walking route between Arundel and Ford along the Ford road.  This project is the Arundel to ford station link -part of 18/ADC/TI Route 8 Arundel to Littlehampton A27-A259	Contribution of £450k over yr1, Yr2 and Y3 (i.e. £150k pa)  Detailed Design Stage cost estimate provided by Highways	£0	Discussions ongoing - likely to be agreed by Nov 2021. Provider 1 Highways England cost contribution 80-90% Provider 2 WSCC/ADC 9-19% 2022 £31.5 – 66.5k 2023/24 £315 – 950 Provider 3 Arundel Town Council 1%	See funding allocation under 18/ADC/TI Route 8 which will cover ADC CIL contribution Y1 and Yr2	CIL	Starting 2022/23	Promoted by Arundel Parish Council  WSCC  Highways England	Arundel CIL Spending List 2021  WSCC LCWIP prioritisation process  Highways England Designated Funds - A27 NMU link improvements package Ford to Arundel	

**Agreed** (on a signed s106 but no absolute certainty of knowing if/when it is to be paid), **Secured** (Certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met) and **Received** (finance are holding the funds)

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.												
Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Project Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). <sup>1</sup>	Consultation Update	Net CIL (£). <sup>2</sup>	Proposed Funding Arrangement.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG	
			England £350k (2022/23) Full project delivery cost £4m (2023/24)			2022 £3.5k 2023/24 £35-50k					2020. Project number 70055187.	
	40/PC/	Natural oak play equipment for Priory Pocket Park and a lockable display noticeboard	£5,660  Project cost: £6,660	Friends of Priory Pocket Park aim to contribute £1,000 (not confirmed)	Quote included QTE562/20 June 2020 £8,020  £1,000 raised.	£5,660	CIL	Yr1	Promoted by Arundel Parish Council	Arundel CIL Spending List 2021		
	41/PC/	The Lido Extended Activities Plan (LEAP)	Contribution of £150k  LEAP project cost estimate	£0	No further details to demonstrate costs/procurement.  It is unlikely that any	£150k	CIL	2023	Promoted by Arundel Parish Council  Arundel Lido charitable	Arundel CIL Spending List 2021  Supported by ADC (Leisure		

**Agreed** (on a signed s106 but no absolute certainty of knowing if/when it is to be paid), **Secured** (Certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met) and **Received** (finance are holding the funds)

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.											
Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Project Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). <sup>1</sup>	Consultation Update	Net CIL (£). <sup>2</sup>	Proposed Funding Arrangement.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
			ed at £3m		detailed analysis will be undertaken until sometime during 2023 and unlikely that any funds to support the process will be required until the latter half of the year, at the earliest.				e trust who would lead the project  Lottery Funding bid	Strategy) and Arundel Town Council (Neighbourhood Plan). South Downs National Park support new leisure. Policy 8 of the Arundel Neighbourhood Plan support additional leisure and community use at the Arundel Lido'.	

**Agreed** (on a signed s106 but no absolute certainty of knowing if/when it is to be paid), **Secured** (Certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met) and **Received** (finance are holding the funds)

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.											
Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Project Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). <sup>1</sup>	Consultation Update	Net CIL (£). <sup>2</sup>	Proposed Funding Arrangement.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
	42/PC/	Leisure and community route signage in Arundel.	Contribution of £27k Project cost: £30k	£0	No further details to demonstrate costs/procurement.  No agreement of costs with providers in place.	£27k	CIL	July 2022	Promoted by Arundel Parish Council  South Downs	Arundel CIL Spending List 2021	
	43/PC/	Arundel Green Infrastructure Network	Contribution of £9k Project cost: £10k	£0	No further details to demonstrate costs/procurement.  No agreement of costs with providers in place.  Arundel would allocate £1,000 yr 1	£9k	CIL	August 2022	Promoted by Arundel Parish Council  WSSC South Downs	Arundel CIL Spending List 2021  The need for a Green Infrastructure Network was identified in the Arundel Neighbourhood Plan	

**Agreed** (on a signed s106 but no absolute certainty of knowing if/when it is to be paid), **Secured** (Certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met) and **Received** (finance are holding the funds)

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.											
Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Project Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). <sup>1</sup>	Consultation Update	Net CIL (£). <sup>2</sup>	Proposed Funding Arrangement.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
	45/PC/	Community Building for Proposed Ford Road Development	Contribution of £400k Project cost: £500k	£0 No s.106 June 2021	No further details to demonstrate costs/procurement.  No agreement of costs with providers in place.	£400k	CIL S106	2024 Yr3	Promoted by Arundel Parish Council	Arundel CIL Spending List 2021	
	47/PC/	Canada Gardens in Arundel – landscaping and improvements.	Contribution of £4,500. Project cost: £5k	£0	No further details to demonstrate costs.	£4,500	CIL	July 2022	Promoted by Arundel Parish Council	Arundel CIL Spending List 2021	
	48/PC	Upgrade of Horsemere Green Lane – Design Options HW4	£100,000	Agreed £80,000 (in 2 S106's)	None.	£20,000		2021-22	Promoted by Climping Parish Council  WSSC	Climping CIL Spending List  Significant contributions via s.106 will be delivered by	



**Agreed** (on a signed s106 but no absolute certainty of knowing if/when it is to be paid), **Secured** (Certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met) and **Received** (finance are holding the funds)

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.											
Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Project Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). <sup>1</sup>	Consultation Update	Net CIL (£). <sup>2</sup>	Proposed Funding Arrangement.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
										Strategic Allocations to such facilities. CIL receipts will be nominal. More appropriate via WSCC highway improvement funding/grant regimes.	
	51/PC	Speed Activated sign Chalcraft Lane	£2000 - £2500	£0	None.	£2-2500	CIL	2021	Promoted by Bersted Parish Council  WSCC	Bersted CIL spending List	
	52/PC	Flood Defences survey Drain off A29 Little Orchard	Unknown	£0	Map included on area. However, no further	Unknown	CIL	2021	Promoted by Bersted Parish Council	Bersted CIL spending List	

**Agreed** (on a signed s106 but no absolute certainty of knowing if/when it is to be paid), **Secured** (Certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met) and **Received** (finance are holding the funds)

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.											
Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Project Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). <sup>1</sup>	Consultation Update	Net CIL (£). <sup>2</sup>	Proposed Funding Arrangement.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
					details to demonstrate costs.  No agreement of costs with providers in place.				WSCC ADC Engineers		Red
	53/PC	New or replacement outdoor play/gym equipment	£500-£1000 per item	£0	None.  No map provided.	£500-£1000 per item	CIL	2021	Promoted by Bersted Parish Council  Sports England ADC parks	Bersted CIL Spending List	Yellow
	58/PC	Boules/Petanque at Mewsbrook Park; Outdoor Leisure Equipment	Unknown	0	None.	Unknown	CIL	2025	Promoted by Littlehampton Town Council  ADC	Littlehampton CIL Spending List	Red
	59/PC	Wick Information Centre (WIC)	Unknown	0	None.	Unknown	CIL	Tbc	Promoted by	Littlehampton CIL	Red

**Agreed** (on a signed s106 but no absolute certainty of knowing if/when it is to be paid), **Secured** (Certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met) and **Received** (finance are holding the funds)

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.											
Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Project Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). <sup>1</sup>	Consultation Update	Net CIL (£). <sup>2</sup>	Proposed Funding Arrangement.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
									Littlehampton Town Council ADC	Spending List	
	60/PC	North Littlehampton Community Centre & Youth Facility. Request is to extend facilities once built.	Unknown	Delivery of facilities secured through S106	None. No cost procurement info provided.	Unknown	S106 CIL reconsider once facilities are built.	2023	Promoted by Littlehampton Town Council ADC	Littlehampton CIL Spending List	
	61/PC	Cycleway – Pagham to Chichester HW5, HX4	Unknown	Unknown Agreed £317,310 part of which to fund Improvements to FP's 100, 101, 104 & 106 (Pagham to Honer Lane, Mundham) (Check with WSCC)	No further details to demonstrate costs/procurement. WSCC can confirm that a feasibility study is currently being finalised on this route.	Unknown	Part funded by S106 CIL	In line with strategic development	Promoted by Pagham Parish Council. ADC WSCC	Pagham CIL Spending List. Significant contributions via s.106 will be delivered by Strategic Allocations to such facilities. CIL	

**Agreed** (on a signed s106 but no absolute certainty of knowing if/when it is to be paid), **Secured** (Certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met) and **Received** (finance are holding the funds)

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.											
Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Project Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). <sup>1</sup>	Consultation Update	Net CIL (£). <sup>2</sup>	Proposed Funding Arrangement.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
										receipts will be nominal. However, see: Route 12: Active travel route (safe cycling) - Aldwick Region at project 22 ADC TI above.	
	67NHS	Primary care centre, Littlehampton	£4 mil Est	£750k from S106 (apps noted on proforma)  Potential of approx. £1,037,265 on S106.  Currently holding £623,859	Yes.	Tbc	A joint council WSCC and NHS premises build was preferred OPE (One Public Estate) project which WSCC concluded was not	Not specified		NHS Estate Plan	

**Agreed** (on a signed s106 but no absolute certainty of knowing if/when it is to be paid), **Secured** (Certainty of receipt as trigger for payment met) and **Received** (finance are holding the funds)

Table 1 – Arun CIL Baseline Infrastructure List from the Arun ICSDP 2017.											
Column 1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
Infrastructure Type	ID No.	Project	Project Cost (£)	Funding Secured (£). <sup>1</sup>	Consultation Update	Net CIL (£). <sup>2</sup>	Proposed Funding Arrangement.	Phasing Period	Delivery Partners	Evidence source	Prioritisation rating RAG
							viable (for multiple users/stakeholders).				
	68NHS	Grove House GP Practice, Pagham	£2m Est (but if no s106 until 2030, project could reach £4m).	£1.287mil From s106 (apps shown in proforma)  Current figures show £1,283,324 due to be received.	Yes.	N/A.	S106 GP owner to pay the difference left.	Not specified.	To be funded as s.106 mitigation of Strategic allocations	NHS Estate Plan  Developer s.106	