

# Areas 1 - Quantitative Targets



Target (trial area households)[1]	Kerbside		November Performance	Flats		November Performance
Food waste capture rate	50%		<b>85%</b>	20%		<b>53%</b>
Food waste collection participation rate	50%		<b>94%</b>	20%		<b>N/A</b>
	From	To		From	To	
Reduce the amount of food waste within the residual waste (kg/hh/pa)	259.48	129.74	<b>56.16</b>	136.24	108.99	<b>115.44</b>
Reduce amount of residual waste in trial area (kg/hh/pa)	592.28	462.54	<b>284.96</b>	465.40	438.15	<b>447.2</b>
Improve Dry Recycling and Food Performance Percentage*	20.83%	37.65%	<b>57.78%</b>	14.45%	19.31%	<b>26.02%</b>
Improve dry recycling input contamination level (%)	14.63%	8%	<b>13.63%</b>	20.29%	8%	<b>16.82%</b>
Improve quantity of dry recycling (tonnes)	167.17	204.68	<b>192.76</b>	5.42	6.64	<b>7.79</b>
AHP Targets (trial area households)						
Achieve average 80% participation rate from AHP subscribed households over trial period						<b>80%</b>
Separately collect 15.8 tonnes of AHP waste over the trial period						<b>12.7 tonnes</b>
The waste composition is a snapshot in time and the food kg/hh/pa yield is high, probably because of sampling being carried out adjacent to halloween and half term school holidays.		High contamination levels most likely linked to time of year. November is notoriously high for contamination, mostly due to bad weather.				-

\*Its important to note that the rates calculated above are not comparable to our NI192 recycling rate. The above is a kerbside rate that increases solely as a result of the separately collected food expected from the trial area. and does NOT include kerbside collected green waste, nor does the baseline include any increases to the kerbside dry recycling yields to ensure prudence.

# Areas 2 - Quantitative Targets



<a href="#">Target (trial area households)[1]</a>	Kerbside		November Performance	HMO's		November Performance
Food waste capture rate	50%		<b>85%</b>	20%		<b>53%</b>
Food waste collection participation rate	50%		<b>90%</b>	20%		
	From	To		From	To	
Reduce the amount of food waste within the residual waste (kg/hh/pa)	119.60	59.80	<b>36.40</b>	143.52	114.82	<b>72.8</b>
Reduce amount of residual waste in trial area (kg/hh/pa)	364.52	304.72	<b>261.04</b>	439.40	410.70	<b>200.20</b>
Improve Dry Recycling and Food Performance Percentage*	17.56%	30.68%	<b>53.19%</b>	18.50%	23.66%	<b>45.55%</b>
Improve dry recycling input contamination level (%)	19.46%	8%	<b>30.14%</b>	25.35%	8%	<b>20.87%</b>
Improve quantity of dry recycling (tonnes)	4.66	5.70	<b>5.72</b>	17.55	21.49	<b>22.99</b>
<b>AHP Targets (trial area households)</b>						
Achieve average 80% participation rate from AHP subscribed households over trial period						
Separately collect 1.11 tonnes of AHP waste over the trial period						<b>0.984</b>
The waste composition is a snapshot in time and the food kg/hh/pa yield is high, probably because of sampling being carried out adjacent to halloween and half term school holidays.			High contamination levels most likely linked to time of year. November is notoriously high for contamination, mostly due to bad weather.			-

\*Its important to note that the rates calculated above are not comparable to our NI192 recycling rate. The above is a kerbside rate that increases solely as a result of the separately collected food expected from the trial area. and does NOT include kerbside collected green waste, nor does the baseline include any increases to the kerbside dry recycling yields to ensure prudence.