

**Local Plan Sub-Committee**  
**1 March 2016**  
**Additional Paper for Agenda item 5**

1. As set out in para 2.7 of the report, officers have been considering the implications of the Inspectors conclusions on the OAN against the evidence base testing and sustainability appraisal (SA) work. Officers consider that the previously proposed alternatives (set out in the October 2015 LPSC agenda) are not now robust because of the significantly increased OAN. If they were pursued then the risk of an unsound Plan would be increased.
2. As a result, it is considered that an alternative that is higher than the OAN (and the 900 previously suggested) is required so that a genuine opportunity to consider un-met needs within the housing market area is tested. Furthermore, testing three alternatives at 758 dpa would now appear to be unnecessary. At the other end of the spectrum, growth of 650 dph still needs to be tested as the overall impacts and identification of different impacts at differing levels of growth is required.
3. As set out in the original report, it is essential to be mindful that the SA and evidence base do not set the strategy or housing requirement; they inform the process but the Plan will set these out.
4. As the Council does not have an extensive list of large sites that could be assessed against each other, many of the sites for consideration appear in many of the alternatives. However, the evidence base and SA will consider impacts of development on these sites and the cumulative impacts of development on other sites when formulating a strategy.
5. As per the recommendation in October 2015, your committee is asked to note the changes to the alternatives (outlined below) to be tested through the evidence base and SA. Therefore, the recommendation on page 6 of the agenda should now read;
  - i. That the Local Plan Sub-Committee note the Inspectors' conclusions
  - ii. That the Local Plan Sub-Committee note the work that has been progressed on the Sustainability Appraisal so far that is intended to feed into the further work required during the suspension of the Arun Local Plan Examination to work towards meeting the requirements of the identified higher Objectively Assessed Needs (OAN) figure of 845 dwellings per annum.

## New SA & Evidence Base Alternatives

<b>Scenario 1 – 650 dpa</b>			
<b>Residual</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>
5240	BEW – 2000 Angmering (s+e) – 650 LEGA – 1000 Ford – 900 Fontwell – 400 <u>Ferring E – 336</u>  5286		
<b>Scenario 2 – 758 dpa</b>			
<b>Residual</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>
7081	BEW – 2000 LEGA – 1000 Fontwell – 400 Angmering (s+e) – 650 Climping – 500 Ford – 900 Ferring E – 336 Angmering (N) – 700 Yapton – 500 <u>Pagham (N) – 300</u>  7286		
<b>Scenario 3 – 845 dpa</b>			
<b>Residual</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>
8943	BEW – 3000 Fontwell – 400 Angmering (s+e) – 650 Ford – 2000 West Bersted – 2000 <u>LEGA – 1000</u>  9050	Fontwell – 400 Angmering (s+e) – 650 Ford – 900 Yapton – 500 Climping – 500 Ferring E – 336 N Middleton – 500 Pagham (n) – 300 Pagham (s) – 600 Angmering (n) – 700 West Bersted – 1000 <u>BEW – 2000</u>  8386	

<b>Scenario 4 – 1000 dpa</b>			
<b>Residual</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>
12043	BEW – 3000 Ford – 2000 LEGA – 1000 West Bersted – 2000 Angmering (s+e) – 650 Angmering (N) – 700 Fontwell – 400 Ferring E – 336 Pagham (n) – 300 Pagham (s) – 600 Yapton – 500 <u>Climping – 500</u>		
	11986		